#### THE

# CASE and CLAIM

OF THE

### AMERICAN LOYALISTS

IMPARTIALLY STATED AND CONSIDERED.

Printed by Order of their AGENTS.

to eir actalist to the State and States

#### 3.8 年下

# COASE and COLIA IMI w

TALL SHIP YOU

#### LANGER COAST TAY YARESTES

distribution of a feet a state of a second

#### KOOTTOUCCATELL

The state of the s

#### THE

## CASE and CLAIM

OF THE

#### AMERICAN LOYALISTS

IMPARTIALLY STATED AND CONSIDERED.

#### INTRODUCTION.

been long enveloped in doubt and uncertainty. Deprived of their fortunes, in consequence of their fidelity to the State, and destitute of that justice which is due to them from Society, they knew not where to look for it. Although their right to an indemnification for the losses they had sustained, and the sacrifices they had made to the Public safety, in obedience to the commands of their Sovereign, was clear and indseputable; yet, during the war, it was impossible

final suppression of the rebellion, or in a pacification between the State and the revolters; or, whether they should be obliged to appeal to the laws of their Country, and to the justice of the Nation, for a compensation. During this uncertainty, they have patiently waited for events; and many of them have subsisted, by the aid of the strictest economy with the utmost difficulty, on the temporary provision which Government has been pleased to make them.

But as those doubts are now removed by a treaty with The United States of America, in which no indemniscation whatever is secured, they are obliged to seek for relief where the laws of the Society, and the principles of natural justice, direct, and where now it is only to be found. They say obliged, because, most certain it is, that, besides a reluctance to become in the least burdensome to their sellow-subjects in Great Britain, they would have preserved a restoration to their rights and fortunes, in the country where their natural, as well as social, connexions were formed, to any other compensation whatever.

Thus they find themselves under the necessity of stating their Case, and of submitting their Claim, to the consideration of those, in whom the constitution of the Society has placed the power of redress.

THE

the raing feducian by force, they had it not in their power, to take it with girds, Mobs, were thaked; lawless committees and Harrentions were formed; the rights of legislation, of judicial enquiry, fen-

tence, and execution, were assumed the awthough Etha Croze, to wash the fad fall citizen could only look for protection, was destroyed;
and many were tarted, seathered, and otherwise
cycly treated. Such Hals Bo prevalence of the
power, and the violence of the inforgents, that,

# AMERICAN LOYALISTS,

the officers of Government, who had continued faithful to their trufts, and all others who had

DREVIOUS to the year 1774, a rebellion of mula lingular and most dangerous nature had been growing to maturity ho North America. Its aim was nothing less than the annihilation of the fovereign rights of Parliament, and the eftablishment of mew, independent fovereignties in their steads In that year it broke out with a fu--perior degree of violence A part of the people lonly were concerned in lib: la great partnadthering to shelf allegiance, and confiding in the protection due to them from the States refused to whave any connection with it; and many, both by otheir declarations and actions opposed it sobut, being neither protected non affifted in their appoafition; and having no legal authority to subdue B alogrand tadt rot besnithe

the rifing fedition by force, they had it not in thei power to relift it with effet. Mobs were raised; lawless committees and conventions were formed; the rights of legislation, of judicial enquiry, fentence, and execution, were assumed; the authority of the Crown, to which the faithful citizen could only look for protection, was destroyed; and many were tarred, feathered, and otherwife cruelly treated. Such was the prevalence of the power, and the violence of the infurgents, that, in a little time, the well-affected were disarmed; the King's forts dismantled; the governors, and all the officers of Government, who had continued faithful to their trufts, and all others who had given an open opposition to their measures, were either imprisoned, or compelled to feek an afylum in exile from their families " hound in law, to be haiding and adopting to

EARLY in the year 1775, his Majesty was pleased to communicate this lawless and seditious state of the colonies to both Houses of Parliament. After sull deliberation, the Lords and Commons concurred in assuring his Majesty, "That it was their fixt resolution, at the hazard of their lives and properties, to stand by his Majesty against all rebellious attempts, in maintenance of his just rights, and of the two Houses of Parliament." And the aids were accordingly granted for that purpose.

In pursuance of these spirited measures of the Parliament, his Majesty, on the 23d of August, in the same year, published a Proclamation at St. James's, in which, after reciting that " an " open and avowed rebellion" existed in America as the reason of the Proclamation, he adds, "To the end, therefore, that none of our subjects " may neglett or violate their duty through ignoer rance thereof, or through any doubt of the pro-" tection which the law will afford to their lovalty and zeal, we have thought fit, by the advice of our Privy Council, to iffue this Proclaer mation, hereby declaring, that not only all our officers civil and military, are obliged to ex-" ert their utmost endeavours to suppress the re-" bellion; but that all the fabjetts of our realin, er and the dominions thereunto belonging, are " bound by law, to be aiding and affifting in " the suppression of the rebellion, and to disclose " and make known all traiterous confpiracies and accempts against our Crown and dignity. And " we do accordingly fristly charge and command st all our officers, civil and military, and all other sour obedient and loyal subjects, to use their " utmost endeavours to withstand and suppress so fuch rebellion," &cc of a top entry but asher "to a constitution of the state of the state

Tens Proclamation was transmitted by his Majefty's Secretary of State to the several Governors

tor that purpola

of the Colonies, with an order to publish it; and it was accordingly published.

AUTHORISED by these proceedings of the Crowns and both Houses of Parliament, General Gage, the Commander in Chief of the British forces in America, in order to dissuade the seditious from their lawless design, and to remind and call upon the loyal and faithful inhabitants to discharge their duties as subjects, in compliance with the Royal command, issued his Proclamation in the same year. In this Proclamation he offers a pardon, to all those who should lay down their arms, and return to their duties as subjects; and at the same time declares, that ALL OTHERS who should protest affif, supply, conceal, or correspond with the insurgents, should be treated as Re-

Norwither and tolern Calls by the highest authority of the State, firilly commanding the well-affected part of the people to use their statement endeavours to withstand and suppress the rebellian in the military forcethen in America was not competent to take the field, or oppose the torrent of the revolters, nor to give the aid and protection due to those who should attempt to withstand it; or, if it was competent, no effectual exertions were made

duties between the State and the subject; but a subject is not bound to endanger his life and his property, before the State is in readiness to affift and support him. The obligation of protection is therefore the primary duty: but yet no sorce deemed sufficient to "withstand" the revolters arrived, until twelve months after these Proclamations. On the other hand, the power of the insurgents so rapidly increased, as to embolden them to set up a number of independent sovereignties, claiming and exercising the powers of life and death over all within their jurisdictions, who should oppose their measures.

Those who are possessed of the least candour will perceive; and humanity will be shocked at, the critical and dangerous predicament into which these reverse transactions of the contending parties involved the loyal and faithful subjects of the Crown. On the one hand, though destitute of that prolection and support which they had a right to expect from the State, they were called upon to withfland and suppress the rebellion;" and if they even se corresponded" with the Infurgents, who were a continual guard over their lactions and expressions, they were declared in rebels and traitors" to Government; and, on the other hand, they were subject to the fanguinary laws, awain--der's, and proferiptions of those who held, de facto. Jil 17 the

the fovereign powers of the country; and who had, in their turn, passed laws, declaring it High Treason against their usurpation, to aid, assist, or even to correspond with the subjects of Great Britain.

THAT a great number of Loyalifts have been ever ready, under proper support, to assist in suppressing the-rebellion at the risk of their lives and fortunes, and have suffered great oppressions and miferies on account of their attachment to Great Britain, are facts well known to thousands. For the present, they appeal for the truth of this affertion to the numerous infurrections in favour of the British Government, by great bodies of Loyalifts in the Carolinas, Virginia, Maryland, the Delaware Counties, and New Jerfey; to the numerous offers of their affiftance to the British generals; and, in particular, to the Memorial made to his Majesty, through his Secretary of State, offering, by their own force, to reduce three Provinces to the peace of the Crown, provided they were supplied with arms and ammunition, and the army under Washington should be kept from molesting them. And they further appeal to the official letters of Generals Howe, Clinton and Cornwallis, and likewife to a Proclamation published by General Burgoyne in the year 1777, in the following words: " To the " eyes and ears of the temperate part of the Puber lic.

If lic, to the breafts of fuffering THOUSANDS in the provinces, be the melancholy appeal, - Whesther the prefent unnatural rebellion has not been made a foundation of the completest System " of Tyranny that ever God, in his displeasure, se suffered for a time to be exercised over a fro-" ward and stubborn generation? Arbitrary imof prisonment, confiscation of property, persecution and torture, unprecedented in the inquisition of the "Romish church, are among the palpable enormities that verify the affirmative. These are " inflicted by Affemblies and Committees, who "dare to style themselves Friends to Liberty. s upon the most faithful subjects, without distincti tion of age or fex, for the fole crime, often for " the fole suspicion, of having adhered in principle " to the Government under which they were born, and to which, by every tie buman and " divine, they owe allegiance. To confummate " these shocking proceedings, the profanation of " religion is added to the most profligate prosties tution of common fenfe. The consciences of " men are fet at nought, and MULTITUDES are cc compelled, not only to bear arms against their " Sovereign, but also to swear allegiance to an " usurpation they abbor " "it's la sent ar laid to

Even Pains, the noted party writer for Congress, has, in a pamphlet just published by Stockdals, given a testimony as to the number and fufferings of the American Loyalists. His words are: "The British have lost their interest in America with the disaffected; [i. e. 4" the Loyalists.] There is no new scene left for delusion; and the C "THOU-

In this calamitous and forlorn fituation, for justly described by a General upon the spot, a great number of the people, though they remained under the rebel usurpation, continued unmoved in their duty to the British Government: many of these, being particularly circumstanced in respect to their local situations and connexions; many having religious scruples, in regard to the lawfulness of war; and many, very many, from a rational and justifiable prudence, waiting for the promised support and protestion. But there were many others of a more firm and determined spirit, who, relying on the protection due to them from the Society, and folemnly renewed by the affurances of MAJESTY itself, obeyed, with cheerfulness and firmness, the positive injunctions of their Sovereign. These men did not hesitate, at the first opportunity, to desert their nearest and dearest connexions, to abandon their estates, to risk their ALL in the service of their country, to come over to the British generals, and to tender their affiftance in suppressing a rebellion which threatened its ruin.

In his Majesty's Proclamation before-mentioned, the reciprocal obligations between the State and the Subject had been justly and clearly de-

<sup>&</sup>quot;. THOUSANDS who have been ruined by adbering to them, and have "now to quit the Settlements they had acquired, and be conveyed.

<sup>&</sup>quot; like transports [conviets] to cultivate the deserts of Augustine and

<sup>&</sup>quot;Nove Scotie, has put an end to all farther expectations of aid."

fined. The People had been called upon to difcharge the duties of Subjects, " in withstanding and suppressing the rebellion," and they had been affured, that "the law would afford protection to their loyalty and zeal." But Lord Viscount Howe, one of his Majesty's Commissioners acting under the authority of Parliament, published a Proclamation in the year 1776, and, as a further and especial encouragement to the Loyalists, expressly affured them, that " due consideration " should be had to the meritorious services of all er persons who should aid or affift in restoring the "public tranquillity; and that every suitable en-" couragement should be given for promoting such " measures as shall be conducive to the establish-"ment of legal government and peace, in pur-" fuance of his Majesty's most gracious inten-"tions," to Houseks tave to 180

In the same year two other Proclamations were published by Lord and General Howe, and a Declaration in the year following, by the latter calling on the People to discharge their DUTIES as SUBJECTS.

In the year 1778, the Earl of Carlifle, William Eden and George Johnstone, Esqrs. were appointed by his Majesty, under the authority of an Act of Parliament, as Commissioners to treat

C 2

with the revolters. These Commissioners, after they had been at Philadelphia and New York. and made full inquiry into the state and temper of the Colonies, gave ample testimony of the numbers and fufferings of the Loyalists, and of the obligation of the State to give them support and protection. This Testimony is to be feen in their letter to Henry Laurens, Efg; the President, and other Members of the Congress: " Although, " fav they, we have no doubt of his Majesty's disposition to remove every subject of uneasi-" ness from the Colonies, yet there are circum-" stances of precaution against our ancient ene-" mies, which, joined to the regard that must be " paid to the fafety of MANY, who, from affection " to Great Britain, have exposed themselves to' " fuffer in this contest, and to whom Great Britain " OWES SUPPORT at EVERY EXPENCE of blood and " treasure."

In the same year, after the evacuation of Philadelphia, the Congress, for certain political Reasons, did, by their resolve, recommend to the Rebel States to repeal the sanguinary laws made against the Loyalists, and to restore them to their properties. The Loyalists also, conceiving that they were about to be deserted by the British arms, and hoping, through the interest of their friends, that they might obtain the restitution recommended by the Congress, memorialized

zed the Commissioners on the propriety of their pursuing the measures necessary to that end. But the Commissioners answered, "That they could not countenance any measure, tending to a reconciliation with his Majesty's rebellious " Subjects, upon terms inconsistent with those " already proposed to the American Congress; or nor give fanction to any all, by which the " fubject of the State may be led to re-" nounce bis allegiance to his Majesty, or to join " with his enemies." Thus the Loyalifts, when they had a prospect of their recovering the losses and facrifices they had made in the cause of Great Britain, were forbid to take any measures for that purpose; and were left destitute of all hope of restitution, but in a suppression of the rebellion, or of compensation, but in the laws and justice of the Nation,

While the same Commissioners were thus acting in pursuance of their duty to the Crown, they were further careful in urging the Loyalists to a steady perseverance in their allegiance. They issued their Manisesto and Proclamation, directed to "The Congress, the several Assemblies, "and all other the free Inhabitants of the Colonies of every rank and denomination." In this Manisesto they declare to the Congress, "That they are responsible to their countrymen, to the world, and to God, for the continuance of the

" war, and for all the miseries with which it must be attended."

AFTER calling upon the general affemblies, and conventions of the Colonies, to confider their offers of peace, they add, " It has not been, nor is it our wish to feek the objects which we are comer missioned to pursue, by fomenting popular divisions and cabals; but it is both our wish and our duty to encourage ond support any men, or bodies of men, in their return of loyalty to our fovereign, and of affection to our fellow-" subjects." They further add, " If, however, there are any who think, notwithstanding these reasonings, the independence of the Colonies will, in the refult, be acknowledged by Great Britain; to them we answer, without reserve, that we nei-"ther possess nor expect powers for that purpose: and if Great Britain could ever have funk fo " Low as to adopt fuch a measure, we should not " have thought ourselves compellable to be the " instruments in making a concession which would, in our opinion, be calamitous to the Colonies " to whom it is made, and diffraceful, as well as calamitous, to the country from which it is re-" quired."

HAVING urged these, with a variety of other arguments, to dissuade and deter the seditious from their lawless revolt, and to encourage the Loyalists in a perfect reliance on the faith and protection

on the people of America " to vie with each other in eager and cordial endeavours to secure their own peace, and to promote and establish the prosperity of their country, and the general weal of the empire." And they surther, in an express and particular manner, apply to, and command, " all officers civil and military, and all other his Majesty's loving subjects whatever, to be aiding and assisting unto them in the execution of their Manisesto and Proclamation, and all matters therein contained."

Thus encouraged by the resolutions and acts of the British Parliament, thus continually called upon by his Majesty, his Commissioners and Generals acting in his name, and under the authority of Parliament, and firmly relying upon the established rights of citizens, and the Royal and national faith fo repeatedly pledged for their protection and indemnification, the Loyalifts, who now claim the justice due to them as subjects, did not hesitate respecting the part they were bound to take. The protection and justice due to them from the State, and the duty they owed in return, were always before them. Imprest with a perfect confidence in the first, they resolved not to be deficient in the last. Neither the allurements of the highest promotions and honours, nor the most beneficial emoluments of office, often 里民臣

often proffered to them, nor the threats and intimidations of the most cruel and despotic authority, incessantly held out to them, nor the terrors of sanguinary laws, and consequent executions, could move their attachment and allegiance to the sovereignty of this country; and therefore, in obedience to the calls of their Sovereign, they took a decided part in the cause of their sellowsubjects in Great Britain.

In direct consequence of this virtuous and meritorious conduct, their persons have been attainted, their estates confiscated, sold, and appropriated to the use of the rebel usurpation; and many of them, possessed of affluence and a degree of happiness, surpassed by that of no people in any country upon earth, have devoted the whole of their fortunes and their selicity to a religious observance of the conditions and duties of society, and to the national safety.

in misto was

I ne shall swalled new took

them from the State of the duty they

a perfect confidence in the fast, they referred the confidence in the fast. Mether the filling means of the fille proportions and honour many the mask selected at emphasize of office.

ov.

reagn, were always belt calem.

No man in his fendes would emen into a fociety where a contiary law & Hallage was established. Should there be found one among the va-

wous form of Gevernment, in which and nelivi-

its defence, without being paid on is, or to incur a loss of his ellate without a compagation, or even

# to beer an ancient the the expences and la-

IN the preceding state of facts, we have candidly represented the Case of the American Loyalists. It now remains, that we consider the Claim which they have upon their fellow-citizens, by the established laws of all societies, and, in particular, by those of Great Britain. This we will endeavour to do, with the strictest regard to truth and justice.

The great aim and end of civil foclety is protection of the persons and properties of individuals, by an equal contribution to whatever is necessary to attain and secure it. For, since all the individuals who compose the union are to partake of its protection, and of every other benefit resulting from it; nothing can be more just, than that the expenses, burthers, and sarrifices, necessary to preferve it, should be equally distributed and proportionably sustained by all.

TI

# 1 182 17

No man in his senses would enter into a society where a contrary law or principle was established. Should there be found one among the various forms of Government, in which an individual might be compelled to risque his person in its defence, without being paid for it, or to incur a loss of his estate without a compensation, or even to bear an unequal burthen of the expences and facrifices necessary to be made for the public lafety. where would be its public justice? Men would justly prefer a state of nature, with all its incidental mischiefs, to such a society : for they would readily perceive, that that protection and equal justice, for which they had furrendered up their natural liberty, was not to be found in it. deed, this principle of equal justice and individual fecurity is stamped in the nature, and is of the effence, of all civil societies, and pervades all their laws, whether fundamental or municipal.

"In the civil institutes of every society, we find it an established rule, that all facrifices made by individuals, for the benefit or accommodation of others, shall be equally sustained by all those who partake of it. its protections and of every other b

IF two or more men ship merchandize in the same bottom, and the property of one of them is thrown into the fea to fave the ship and the rest of the cargo, the loss shall be averaged, and equally fustained by all the owners. 0.2

If a house is pulled down, or a piece of ground taken from an individual, for the public benefit or convenience, the value shall be paid to the owner by a contribution or, tax laid upon all to whole convenience it is appropriated.

Such being the law in regard to the property of individuals when seized on for the use of a particular district, or number of persons, it would be strange indeed if it were otherwise, in regard to property, seized on, or sacrificed by individuals to the common safety or advantage of the whole society. The absurdity is too gross, and the injustice too manifost, ever to have been a part of any political system. In both cases the individual is equally entitled to a compensation, and it must be allowed, that in the latter the society at large is the most able to make it; and reason, and the principles of natural justice, equally demand it in both.

THERE is no fundamental law of civil fociety more clearly established, or better understood, than that of transcendental propriety, or eminent domain. By this law, the supreme power of every State is authorised to take and dispose of the wealth and property of individuals, when it becomes necessary to the public safety. But the same law enjoins that power to make full compensation for the property taken or given up, to the owners of

## E 20 1

it, and obliges the foriety to furnish the means of doing it. It is a maxim founded in this law, as well as one of natural justice, Qui fentit commodum fentire debet et onus—those who share the benefits resulting from any particular thing ought to share the burthens necessary to obtain and secure it: and therefore, as all the individuals are interested in the public welfare, it has been the universal practice of nations to compensate their private subjects out of the public purse, for the facrifices made on the command of the Sovereign to the public safety.

Purrendore, in explaining the force and extent of this law, tells us, "It will be confessed, agree-"able to natural equity, that when contributions ticular thing, every man should pay bis quala; and one should not be forced to bear more of the burthen than another. And the same bolds to be equity also in Commonwealths. But because the A State of a Commonwealth may be such, that either some pressing necessity will not give leave that every particular lubject's quota should be collected, or elfe, that the public may be found to want the use of fomething in the possession of fome private subject, it must be allowed, that " the fovereign power may feize upon it, to answer se the necessities of the State: but then all above es the proportion that was due from the proprietors 200

## f at 1

\* is to be refunded to them by the rest of the sub-

To illustrate the principles here laid down, the

A Sovereion may proferate the houses of fences, or lay open the fields or gardens of private men, to make room for ramparts or ditches, if it be necessary to the fortification of a town.

of he fleges, houses or trees may be profirated or

List private men lay by materials for their own user fuch materials may be seized and made use of in fortifications.

Ir, in a general fcarcity, the store-houses and granaries of private men are shut up, they may be opened to supply the necessities of the people.

The private coffers of individuals, who see the State in extremity, and will not lend their money to relieve it, may be seized on and risted. Cyrus did so, engaging to make restitution, and it was held lawful and justifiable: but the conduct of the indebted and bankrupt Cæsar, in compelling the Romans to lend him money, and resolving never to pay it, has been ever condemned as unlawful;

not because he compelled them to make the loan, but because he resolved not to repay it.

. It there is no other means of faving a fociety but that of destroying a part or district of it, the fovereign may lay it waste, and remove whatever may be serviceable to the enemy out of it.

ALL these acts, with many others, are justifiable under the fundamental law of eminent domain, common to all civil focieties, and not inconfistent with the compact of union which men enter into when they oblige themselves to perform the duties of citizens: for the public safety is the supreme law of every State; and the rights, privileges, and properties of private men, must yield to the necessities of the Commonwealth. But. " however (fays Puffendorf, when treating on the cales we have mentioned under this law), withs out difpute, they that have loft or facrificed their of fortunes to the public safety in fuch extremities, "ought to have a restitution or satisfaction made " them, as far as it is POSSIBLE by the Commonwealth

The fame author, and indeed every other on the fubject, further expressly agree, that under this law of eminent domain, if a prince is compelled, by necessity, to alienate in a treaty a part of his dominions, the losses of individuals, whose

fortunes are facrificed to the national safety, must be made good by the nation; and therefore we find that, to avoid or lessen the weight of such losses, it has ever been usual, where it could possibly be done, to stipulate in the treaty, that the individuals shall be restored to their property, or, if not taken from them, shall retain it, with liberty to dispose of it to the best advantage, and to return with the proceeds of it to the society of which, they are members. The reason of this is, because a society cannot cast off, or sinally abandon, one of its citizens, who has faithfully performed the conditions of society, without bis consent, while he claims the rights and benefits due to him as such, and is capable of enjoying them.

"What power (fays Puffendorf) the Commonwealth hath to excuse or cede the goods of pri-" vate subjects upon a pacification, must be difcovered from the nature of transcendental pro-" priety; upon the force of which the goods and for-" tunes of private men may be given up whenever the necessities of the State and public interest require it: but with this confideration, that the State is obliged to make good such losses to the " subject out of the public revenue, either immediately, or at least as soon as possible. But whether a particular subject's goods may be exer cused or taken from him, must, in a monarchy, " (i. e. an absolute monarchy) be determined " by the prince; and the whole body of the lequent " fubjects,

to subjects, upon his command, is abliged to make

" fatisfattion to the persons that have sustained

" lofs upon the public account beyond his own

or proportion ..

वार्य केंद्र माजारेक दक्ष

Burlamaqui, when treating upon the same subject, says, "That it is really a maxim of natural equity, that when contributions are to be made for the necessities of the State, every man ought to pay his quota, and should not be forced to bear more of the burthen than another."

And fince it may happen, that the pressing wants of the State may oblige the Sovereign to feize on something in the possession of some private subject, it is just, in these cases, that the proprietors should be indemnified either by their fellow subjects, or by the Exchequer, for what exceeds his proper share, at least as near as possible †."

The fame author, when treating of the right of the fovereign to alienate by treaty the dominions of the State, further adds, That is as for the effects of a private subject ceded with the territory, the Sovereign, as such, has a transfer feedental or supereminent right to dispose of the goods and fortunes of private men; con-

<sup>\*</sup> Puffendorf, b. viii. c. viii. f. 3, 101d . 1

<sup>+</sup> Burlamaqui, Pol. Laws part jit. c. 5, £ 27, 21.

er sequently

" fequently he may give them up as often as the er public advantage or necessity requires it: but with this confideration, that the State ought to er indemnify the subject for the loss be bas sustained be-" youd his own proportion "."

Monsieur de Vattel, in his Treatise on the Law of Nations, and indeed every other author on the fubject, gives the same explanation of the law of eminent Domain, and establishes the doctrine of compensation here laid down. " The right (fays " he) which belongs to the fociety or the fovereign, " of dispoling, in case of necessity, and for the " public fafety of all the wealth contained in the " State, is called the eminent Domain. It is evident, that this right is, in certain cafes, neceffary to him who governs, and confequently is a part of the fovereign power. When, there-" fore, it disposes, in a case of necessity of the poser fessions of a community, or an individual, the alienaer tion will be valid. But justice DEMANDS, that this community, or this individual, be recompensed ce out of the public money; and, if the treasury is or not able to pay it, all the citizens are obliged to " contribute to it : for the expences of a State " ought to be supported equally, and in a just proportion. It is in this, as in the throwing mer-" chandize over-board to fave the veffel t.

LIMBUDDE

Burlamaqui, part iv. c. xili. f. 6. 10 3 1100 130

<sup>+</sup> Vattel on the Law of Nations, b. i. c. x. f. 242.

Many precedents, both ancient and modern, founded on the law of eminent Domain, might be adduced from the uniform practice of States to illustrate this truth:

In the civil war which happened in Spain in the fifteenth century, and which ended in the independence of the States of Holland, the principle of national justice here contended for was ftrictly fulfilled. The war had continued near half a century. The attainders and profcriptions were numerous in proportion to the duration of the war; and yet when the belligerent powers met to treat of peace, conscious of the justice, as well as found policy, of indemnifying those who had fuffered more than their own proportion, by loffes during the war, among a variety of other equitable articles, it was finally stipulated, That all real estates which had been " seized, exposed " to fale, or profcribed, on account of the war," should be restored to the former owners; and that for all goods feized and fold by the public officers, the owners should have the goods resurned, or receive fix pounds and a quarter yearly for every hundred pounds process of or should

In the Treaty of Utrecht, care was taken to restore the Honors and Domain of Chattelberault to the family of Hamilton; and the Honors and Domains of Aubigny to the samily of Richmond.

Ar the termination of the last war, when France ceded the province of Canada to Great Britain, she was so tenacious of the safety and protection of her Subjects, that she insisted on, and it was accordingly agreed, that the Canadians should retain their property, and that such as did not chuse to become the subjects of Great Britain, but wished to return to their former allegiance, should have a right to dispose of their property to the best advantage, and to transport its produce unmolested to their own Society. At the same time, the like stipulation was made in the cession of New Orleans to Spain.

have not admitted of these kinds of indemnification, it has ever been the uniform practice of
nations to make a compensation out of the public
treasury. In these acts of public justice, so consistent with the nature and safety of Society, and
so necessary to the encouragement and support of
its members, in their sidelity to the State, the
American Sufferers contemplate with pleasure,
that Great Britain has been surpassed by none.
Of this truth, a perusal of her history will afford
abundant demonstration,

In the year 1689, by the 1 W. & M. c. 9. all the Protestants who had continued faithful in their allegiance to the British Government, during the

the rebellion in Ireland, and had incurred a forfeiture of their estates under the acts of the rebel Parliament, were "restored to their possessions, as well ecclesiastical as temporal, in the same manner they were held before the rebellion."

In the year 1709, during the wars of Queen Anne, the French had invaded Nevis and St. Christophers, and committed great depredations on the inhabitants. By a statute passed in that year, the Parliament granted 103,003l. 115. 4d. as a compensation to the Sufferers for the losses sustained by those depredations.

In the year 1715, by the 1 Geo. c. 44. After reciting "the loyalty and zeal which the city of Glafgow had shewn," in expending a sum of money in putting "themselves in a posture of de- fence against the rebels and traitors," the Parliament, in consideration of their losses and expences, granted to the City a duty upon ale and beer for the space of twenty-three years, as a compensation.

In the year 1717, by the 4 Geo. 1. c. 8. "All "persons who had continued dutiful and faithful "to her Majesty, and whose houses or goods had been burnt by the rebels in Scotland, or burnt or otherwise destroyed at Preston in Lancashire by the rebels, or his Majesty's army, shall be "fully reimbursed and repaid their losses by the respective

" respective Exchequers of England and Scot-

In the year 1725, Daniel Campbell, Esq; had been concerned in promoting an Act for laying a duty upon Malt. A mob rose at Glasgow, and destroyed his property: And the Parliament, by the 12th of Geo. 1. c. 27. extended the national justice even to his case, and ordered his losses to be repaid out of the produce of certain duties, clear of all deductions." For, says the Statute, the great losses and damages sustained, being on account of the concern he had, or was supposed to have had, in promoting the Act for laying a duty upon Malt; it is just and reasonable that the said damages and losses should be made good and repaid to the said Damages niel Campbell."

In the year 1747, a Petition by Dr. Swinton, for a compensation for sundry houses destroyed at Chester, in consequence of the preceding rebellion, the honour and justice of the Nation was so much concerned in a compliance with it, that it came down recommended by the King; and was received by the House, though the time limited for private petitions was expired. It was afterwards committed to a Committee of the whole House; and, by the same Act which granted the public aids, a sum of money was given as a compensation.

necessary to cede to France the Island of St. Lucia, ample compensation both of honours and revenue was made to the Duke of Montague, the proprietor. The Order of the Bath was renewed. He was created Chancellor, and enjoyed the extensive emoluments of that office.

the rath of the r. c. 25. extended the national

In the year 1764, several tumults and insurrections, in opposition to the authority of Parliament, took place in America. The houses
and other valuable property of divers persons,
who had discharged their duty in attempting to
carry the Act of Parliament for laying a Duty on
Stamps into execution, were destroyed. His
Majesty laid these lawless proceedings before the
two Houses of Parliament. Upon due consideration, and in strict conformity to the Law of Indemnification, for what a Subject has lost in discharging
his duty to the State, both Houses

RESOLVED, "That an humble Address be pre"fented to his Majesty, to desire, that he would
"be graciously pleased to give instructions to the
"Governors of the several provinces where those
"tumults and insurrections have happened, That
"they should, in his Majesty's Name, require the
"Assemblies of the said Provinces to make a pro"per recompence to those who have suffered in their
"Persons

" Persons or Properties, in consequence of the Said

"Tumults and Insurrections; and to affure his

" Majesty that they will, upon this and all Octa-

fions, support the lawful authority of the Crown

and of the rights of Parliament." or dame ?

#### And they further and MA him Loug word of togue "

balayla "

RESOLVED, "That all his Majesty's Subjects, "residing in the said Colonies, who have manifested their desire to comply with, or to assist in, 
carrying into execution the Act for laying a 
Duty on Stamps, or any other Act of Parliament in the British Colonies in North America, have acted as duriful and loyal Subjects, and 
reference entitled to, and will assertedly have, 
the favour and protession of this House."

In the year 1767, the House of Commons took into their consideration the state of North America; and after full deliberation, came, among others, to the following Resolves, viz.

RESOLVED, "That tumults and insurrections of the most dangerous nature have been raised and carried on in the North American Colonies, in open defiance of the powers and dignity of his Majesty's Government, and in manifest violation of the legislative authority of this kingdom."

, daylosaR and Majetty, that he will be gracioully

RESOLVED, "That such persons, who, on acfrount of the desire which they have manifested,
to comply with, or to assist in carrying into execution, any acts of the legislature of Great
Britain, relating to the British Colonies in North
Merica, have suffered any injury or damage,
ought to have full and AMPLE compensation made
to them for the same by the respective Colonies
in which such injuries or damages were suftained."

RESOLVED, "That all his Majesty's subjects residing in the said Colonies, who have manifested their desire to comply with, or to assist in, carrying into execution any acts of the legislature relating to the said Colonies in North America, have acted as dutiful and loyal subjects, and are therefore entitled to, and will assuredly bave, the protection of the House of Commons of GREAT BRITAIN."

The same House of Commons, impressed nor only with a just sense of the national justice which the Loyalists contend for, but with the policy and necessity of holding out distinguishing rewards, and marks of the national favour and approbation to those who had accepted of, and should perform their public trusts,

RESOLVED, "That an humble address be prefented to his Majesty, that he will be graciously "pleased pleased to confer some marks of his Royal favour on those Governors and Officers in the several Colonies who distinguished themselves by their zeal and fidelity in supporting the dignity of the Crown, the just rights of Parliament, and the supporting the distinguished themselves the fupreme authority of Great Britain over the Colonies, during the late disturbances in America."

MANY more precedents of compensation for facrifices made by the private subject, and of diffinguisbing marks of public favour to official, as well as private men, might be adduced from the history of all nations, and in particular from that of the British; but the Loyalists think it unnecessary to recite them; because they conclude, that it is easy for Britons to conceive, that if this was not the law of Great Britain; if Great Britain is not bound to make compensation to individuals for the facrifices made to the public interest and fafety, then, while it possesses private, it wants public justice. The property of the people would be at the absolute disposal of the Sovereign. For the compensation enjoined by the law of eminent Domain is the only check upon the abuse of it. That justice and protection, to pure afe which men give up their natural liberty, would be wanting in the British government. Instead of being adapted to the preservation and fecurity of its subjects, it would be despotic; and them and man Friends Mand to Street nay

nay more, it would be a system of injustice and oppression. It would be a government sounded in principles more unjust, dishonest, and oppressive, than any other upon earth: for this great and equitable law of restitution for property devoted to the public safety, is common to the most absolute monarchies.

AFTER fo many authorities directly supporting the Case of the American Loyalists, little more need be said to prove the legality and justice of their claim upon the nation. They were, and yet are as perfectly subjects of the British State, as any man in London or Middlefex. They were as much bound by all the obligations and duties of the fociety, and confequently as much entitled to the protection and justice of the State; and therefore, the Crown can have no greater right to facrifice their property to the public fasety, than that of any other subject, without compensation. They have been called on by their Sovereign, when furrounded by tumult and rebellion, to defend the Supreme Rights of the Nation, and to affilt in suppressing a rebellion, which aimed at their destruction. received, from the highest authority the most folemn affurances of protestion, and even reward, for their " meritorious fervices." Calls, and these Assurances, have been repeated again and again, during the times of the greateft danger, and even after many hundreds had fallen victims to the unrelenting cruelties of the Rebel States, on no other account but that of their allegiance to their Sovereign, and fidelity to their fellow-subjects in Great Britain. They have, notwithstanding those cruelties, at every hazard, and in the face of the most imminent danger, obeyed those calls, and generously stept forth in defence of the Supreme Authority of the State. In direct consequence of which, their lives have been attainted, their estates conficated and applied to the use of the Rebels. Thus called on, and having fuffered fuch loffes, and made fuch facrifices to the Public Safety, they conceive that they have, in an especial manner, an incontestible right to national justice and public protection. And therefore they entertain a well-grounded confidence, that those losses and facrifices will not be folely borne by themselves, but will, as they ought, by every principle of law and natural justice, be equally distributed and borne by the whole fociety. On them the burthen will be light and infignificant; but were it to rest on the suffering individuals, it would be unjust in the utmost degree, intolerable, and unmerciful.

THAT a nation, confishing of 9,000,000 of people, and possessed of more real and substantial wealth than any other in Europe, is able to do this

this act of natural and legal justice, without adding in any confiderable degree to its burdens, will not, cannot be controverted. For probably less than one-fourth part of the sum necessary to defray the public exigencies of a war during one year, will be abundantly fufficient for this equitable purpose: and they trust in the liberality and magnanimity of the nation, that the plan of public œconomy, fo just and so necessary in every society, will not be a bar to the justice they have a right to claim as individual subjects; nor be extended farther, in regard to them, than common justice requires: for they conceive that the confideration of public aconomy can have no beginning until the demands of public faith and national juflice are fulfilled; that however useful and necessary it may be, at all times, and upon all occasions, it can have no weight when opposed to public justice; and that, in the nature of things, it can only regard the bounties and voluntary expences of the State.

While the American Loyalists thus contend for public justice, they cheerfully agree, that a nation is not obliged to carry on a long and unfuccessful war against numerous and powerful enemies. They will suppose, without presuming to judge, that the State is reduced to the unfortunate alternative of continuing the war at the expence of twenty milions yearly, or, of giving up the estates of a number of its faithful and deserving subjects.

fubjects. Even in this case, they persuade themselves, that they are justifiable upon the principles
of civil society, in contending, that the just objects of public economy can only dictate the
prudence and justice of avoiding the greater evil,
and by the savings of a permanent peace, to repair
the losses and sacrifices it inslicts on individuals,
as the price of obtaining it.

His Majesty and the two Houses of Parliament having thought it necessary, as the price of peace, or to the interest and safety of the Empire, or from some other motive of public convenience, to ratify the Independence of America, without securing any restitution whatever to the Loyalists; they conceive that the Nation is bound, as well by the sundamental laws of the Society, as by the invariable and eternal principles of natural justice, to make them a compensation.

Sensible of the predicament in which they ftand as subjects; and conscious that they have, on their part, by their exercises and sacrifices, more than sulfilled the conditions of Civil Society; they would be wanting to themselves, and to those tender connections who have, from necessity, been the unhappy companions of their missortunes, if they did not make their claim of justice to those who are authorized to grant it.

And

And therefore they do appeal to the fundamental laws of the Society of which they are members,—to the justice of their Sovereign and his Parliament, and of their Fellow-subjects in Great Britain,—at whose instance, in support of whose Sovereign Rights, and for whose sakes, they have lost and facrificed all that men can possibly lose or suffer, life itself only excepted. And they make this Appeal under the firmest considence in the Liberality and Equity of the Nation, that the Justice of their Claim will be acknowledged, and a Compensation be accordingly made.

buttour fectains of self-alies enlarger to the keyeleft; attending that the land of the follows, as well by the functional laws of the following the male that a terms.

# which did I I N I S.

buA

formed as formed as some confirment in the formed and the confirment in the state of the confirment and the confirment in the confirment of the confirment in the confirment i

penfation.